REMARKS

Status of the Application

Claims 1-40 were originally pending in the application. In the Office Action dated

December 28, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-40. Claims 1, 5, 11, 16, 23-26, 28, 35, 38

and 40 have been amended; Claims 4, 22, 31, 34, 36 and 37 have been cancelled; and, no claims

have been added. Therefore, claims 1-3, 5-21, 23-30, 32-33, 35 and 38-40 remain at issue in the

current application.

Applicants request the Examiner make note a Supplemental Information Disclosure

Statement (IDS) is being filed with a Request for Continued Examination. The IDS includes

references cited in the International Search Report mailed January 26, 2005. Applicants believe

disclosure of these references does not effect the allowability of the claims at issue.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner stated Claims 4, 5, 22-26, 31 and 37 would be allowable if rewritten to

overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, paragraph 2 and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have thus amended Claim 1 to

incorporate the limitations of Claim 4; amended Claim 5 to depend from Claim 1; amended

Claim 11 to incorporate the limitations of Claim 22; amended Claims 23-26 to depend from

Claim 11; amended Claim 28 to incorporate the limitations of Claim 31; and amended Claim 35

to incorporate the limitations of Claim 37. As such, these claims should be allowed as amended.

Page 11 of 14

Appl. No.: 09/993,391

Attorney Docket No.: 4110 P 003

Reply to Office Action of December 28, 2004

Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-39 under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being indefinite. By this

Response, Claims 1, 11, 16, 28, and 35 have been amended to address the Examiner's rejections.

With respect to Claims 11 and 28, the preamble has been amended to recite "determining

the presence or concentration of pathogenic prion protein" in order to correlate with the body of

the claim. Support for the term "concentration" is found in the Specification on page 20, lines

21-22 which discloses that analysis of the pathogenic prion protein proceeds via

chromatography, leading to a result indicative of the presence or concentration of pathogenic

prion protein.

Claim 35 has been amended to clarify the language "analyzing the test device" as

requested by the Examiner. Applicants' amendment explains that Claim 35 is directed to

analyzing the labeled antibody that forms a complex with the pathogenic prion protein. Support

for this language is found in the Specification on page 20, lines 17-22.

In view of the foregoing reasons and amendments, Applicants respectfully request

Examiner to withdraw the rejection of Claims 1, 11, 16, 28, 35 and the claims depending

therefrom under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-3, 7-17, 19, 27, 28, 32-36, 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Schmerr et al., US 6,150,172, in view of Sundrehagen, WO 00/36418, and

further in view of Pugia et al., US 5,846,754. This is however, moot in light of Applicants

withdrawing of claims and amendments set forth above. As to Claim 40, Applicants have

Page 12 of 14

Appl. No.: 09/993,391

Attorney Docket No.: 4110 P 003

Reply to Office Action of December 28, 2004

incorporated subject matter the Examiner deemed allowable into the Claim. As such, Applicants respectfully request these claims should be allowed as amended.

Claims 6, 18, 20, 21, 29, 30, 38, and 39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmerr et al., US 6,150,172, in view of Sundrehagen, WO 00/36418, and further in view of Pugia et al., US 5,846,754. Claims 6, 18, 20, 21, 29, 30, 38, and 39 ultimately depend from Claims 1-3, 7-17, 19, 27, 28, 32-36, 40, and add further limitations thereon.

Accordingly, these claims are likewise not obvious in view Schmerr et al., Sundrehagen, and Pugia et al.. Applicants respectfully request the rejection under §103(a) be withdrawn with respect to these claims.

Appl. No.: 09/993,391

Attorney Docket No.: 4110 P 003

Reply to Office Action of December 28, 2004

## **Conclusion**

In view of the amendments and arguments presented above, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-3, 5-21, 23-30, 32-33, 35 and 38-40 are now in condition for allowance, and such action to this end is respectfully requested. The Examiner is authorized to call the undersigned counsel if it would expedite the process of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 27, 2005

Roger H. Stein, Reg. No. 31,882

Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd.

311 South Wacker Drive, 53<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6630

312.554.3300

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. § 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with first class postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By:

on June 27, 2005

Carol J. Wiechers 1227250v